STATE OF INDIANA

Norman Lefstein, Chairman Indianapolis

Senator Richard D. Bray

Martinsville

Susan Carpenter Indianapolis

Hon. Daniel F. Donahue Clark Circuit Court

Les Duvall Indianapolis

Representative Ralph M. Foley

Martinsville

Monica Foster Indianapolis

Bettye Lou Jerrel Evansville

Senator Timothy S. Lanane

Anderson

Rebecca S. McClure

Lebanon

Representative Bob Kuzman

Crown Point

PUBLIC DEFENDER COMMISSION

115 West Washington Street, Suite 1080 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-3466

(317) 232-2542 Fax (317) 233-6586

E-mail: nbowling@courts.state.in.us http://www.in.gov/judiciary/admin/pub_def/

MEMORANDUM

TO: Governor Joseph E. Kernan

Chief Justice Randall T. Shepard

Hon. Robert D. Garton, Senate President Pro Tempore Hon. Richard D. Young, Jr., Senate Minority Leader Hon. Lawrence Borst, Chair, Senate Finance Committee

Hon. Vi Simpson, Ranking Minority Member, Senate Finance Committee

Hon. B. Patrick Bauer, House Speaker

Hon. Brian C. Bosma, House Minority Leader

Hon. Bill Crawford, Chair, House Ways and Means Committee

Hon. Jeffrey K. Espich, Ranking Minority Member, House Ways and Means Committee

All Members of the Indiana General Assembly

FROM: Norman Lefstein

Chairman

SUBJECT: Annual Report of the Indiana Public Defender Commission

DATE: February 25, 2004

I am pleased to attach the Annual Report of the Indiana Public Defender Commission (IPDC) for FY 2002-2003.

This memorandum provides a brief overview of funding for legal representation of indigent persons in Indiana who have a constitutional right to counsel at government expense. As noted in both this memorandum and in our Annual Report, Indiana does not provide adequate funds to assure effective defense services for these accused persons.

The state's 92 counties provide most of the \$31 million devoted to indigent defense in Indiana. In addition, by virtue of the Public Defense Fund, which receives \$7 million annually from the state, the Commission is *authorized* by statute to (1) reimburse counties 50% of their defense expenditures in capital cases and (2) reimburse counties 40% of their defense expenditures in non-capital cases *if* the counties comply with the Commission's standards for defense services. However, the overall level of financial support for indigent defense provided by Indiana's counties is woefully inadequate; and, to make matters worse, sufficient funds are not appropriated by the legislature for the Public Defense Fund. The Commission, therefore, cannot reimburse counties the full 40% of their non-capital defense expenditures, which results in payments to counties being prorated as required by law.

In 2003, indigent defense expenditures among the 50 states was collected under the auspices of the American Bar Association (ABA) and published on an ABA website. When populations and total expenditures are taken into account, these data show that on a per capita basis Indiana ranks 46th among the 50 states in total indigent defense expenditures. Only Arkansas, Mississippi, North Dakota, and Utah lag behind our state. (See "State and County Expenditures for Indigent Defense," September 2003, at www.indigentdefense.org). The impact of inadequate funding for indigent defense not only undermines the fairness of our justice system, but it also risks the conviction of truly innocent persons, as discussed in the enclosed op ed article that I published in the *Indianapolis Star* on January 4, 2004.

The impact of the Commission's inability to reimburse counties the full 40% of their indigent defense expenditures in non-capital cases is illustrated by what occurred during the last quarter of fiscal year 2002-2003. At the time, the Commission was faced with reimbursement claims from 44 eligible counties in excess of \$1.8 million, but the Public Defense Fund contained only \$1.2 million. Thus, the Commission was required, as provided under Indiana law, to suspend payments for non-capital claims temporarily. This, of course, was a financial problem for the counties because they were counting on receiving reimbursement in a timely manner.

This is not the first time that inadequate funding has crippled the Commission's efforts to relieve counties from some of the financial hardship of providing acceptable levels of indigent defense services. During the first and second quarters of calendar year 2002, the Commission was forced to suspend payments for non-capital claims and prorate reimbursements. The following chart shows the extent of the financial burden imposed upon Indiana counties as a result of inadequate appropriations for the Public Defense Fund:

| Counties: | What counties were entitled to: | What counties actually received: | What counties "lost" due to inadequate funding: |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| ADAMS | \$22,073.05 | \$11,420.75 | \$10,652.30 |
| BENTON | \$6,003.79 | \$3,106.40 | \$2,897.39 |
| CARROLL | \$17,802.83 | \$9,211.31 | \$8,591.52 |
| CLARK | \$34,560.79 | \$17,882.00 | \$16,678.79 |
| DECATUR | \$2,569.87 | \$1,329.67 | \$1,240.20 |
| FAYETTE | \$37,237.68 | \$19,267.04 | \$17,970.63 |
| FLOYD | \$63,728.15 | \$32,973.40 | |
| FOUNTAIN | \$17,762.21 | \$9,190.30 | |
| FULTON | \$13,497.49 | \$6,983.70 | |
| GREENE | \$13,012.69 | \$6,732.86 | |
| HANCOCK | \$18,037.41 | \$9,332.69 | |
| HENRY | \$26,076.18 | \$13,492.00 | |
| JASPER | \$25,637.10 | \$13,264.82 | |
| JENNINGS | \$12,951.38 | \$6,701.14 | |
| KNOX | \$33,082.81 | \$17,117.28 | |
| KOSCIUSKO | \$109,441.94 | \$56,626.05 | |
| LAKE | \$291,549.53 | \$150,849.82 | |
| LAPORTE | \$34,264.38 | \$17,728.64 | |
| MADISON | \$208,625.72 | \$107,944.45 | |
| MARION | \$696,480.02 | \$360,363.77 | |
| MIAMI | \$49,382.41 | \$25,550.81 | |
| MONROE | \$64,781.63 | \$33,518.48 | |
| MONTGOMERY | \$32,307.08 | \$16,715.92 | |
| NOBLE | \$41,247.87 | \$21,341.94 | |
| OHIO | \$2,396.52 | \$1,239.98 | |
| ORANGE | \$2,544.20 | \$1,239.98 \$11,664.53 | |
| PARKE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · |
| PERRY | \$4,973.92 \$11,117.32 | \$2,573.54 \$5,752.19 | |
| | | \$5,752.18 | |
| PIKE | \$27,941.47 | \$14,457.12 | |
| PULASKI | \$13,781.90 | \$7,130.85 | |
| RUSH | \$16,000.90 | \$8,278.98 | |
| SCOTT | \$15,481.99 | \$8,010.49 | |
| SHELBY | \$23,847.99 | \$12,339.12 | · |
| SPENCER | \$3,933.24 | \$2,035.09 | |
| STEUBEN | \$32,587.48 | \$16,860.99 | |
| SULLIVAN | \$2,935.20 | \$1,518.70 | |
| UNION | \$169.33 | \$87.61 | |
| VANDERBURGH | \$226,834.32 | \$117,365.71 | · |
| VERMILLION | \$16,280.89 | \$8,423.85 | |
| VIGO | \$80,151.97 | \$41,471.21 | |
| WARREN | \$1,961.32 | \$1,014.80 | |
| WASHINGTON | \$15,981.97 | \$8,269.19 | |
| WHITE | \$14,488.72 | \$7,496.57 | \$6,992.15 |
| WHITLEY | \$5,826.75 | \$3,014.80 | |
| TOTAL: | \$2,411,351.41 | \$1,247,650.56 | \$1,163,700.85 |

Thus, during the first half of calendar year 2002 alone, Indiana counties endured a "loss" of \$1,163,700.85 due to inadequate indigent defense funding from the State of Indiana. Unfortunately, these losses will be repeated indefinitely in future years if the level of funding for the Public Defense Fund is not increased. For instance, for the third and fourth quarters of calendar year 2003, the Commission once again had to suspend reimbursement payments of non-capital claims. When these suspended claims were eventually paid, the balance in the Public Defense Fund enabled these claims to be paid at approximately 26%, rather than the full 40% promised by statute. This funding shortfall has meant a loss of approximately \$1.33 million for Indiana counties, for a total loss of roughly \$2.5 million during calendar years 2002 and 2003.

The Commission's efforts during the past decade, in cooperation with the General Assembly and the Supreme Court, have contributed to improvements in Indiana's system of indigent defense. However, Indiana's progress is clearly threatened unless the Public Defense Fund's annual appropriation is increased. When the Fund was created more than ten years ago, members of the legislature were advised that the state's appropriation for indigent defense would eventually require at least \$10 million annually.

For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2003, the appropriation for the Public Defense Fund was \$7 million. **Column A** of the attached spreadsheet (see pages 6-8 of this memorandum) shows that during fiscal year 2002-2003 claims for 40% reimbursements in non-capital cases, from 47 counties in compliance with Commission standards, exceeded the \$7 million appropriation by \$219,709. Death penalty cases, which are accorded priority in reimbursements from the Fund pursuant to statute, totaled \$413,805. Since there were insufficient funds to pay all non-capital claims during the last quarter of the fiscal year, non-capital reimbursements to these counties were suspended until the end of the fiscal year. Obviously, this creates difficulties for the counties because they have relied upon reimbursements from the Fund in planning their budgets.

For fiscal year 2003-2004, the Fund's appropriation remains \$7 million. However, the Commission has now approved Allen County's proposed plan and standards for indigent defense, and we anticipate that this county's participation in the reimbursement program will add an additional \$660,000 in annual non-capital claims. Furthermore, several other counties have taken significant steps to qualify for participation in the reimbursement program even though the Commission has not actively encouraged them to do so due to our precarious financial situation. In short, the Commission cannot fulfill the promise of its statute without a substantial increase in the appropriation of the Public Defense Fund. At the current level of funding, suspension and proration of county claims will become more frequent and severe, thereby imposing even greater financial hardships on those progressive Indiana counties that have endeavored to improve their indigent defense programs.

As shown in **Column B** of the spreadsheet below, the projected expenses from the Fund for fiscal year 2003-2004 are likely to exceed \$8.4 million. In addition, as depicted in **Column C**, if the number of counties with approved plans for reimbursement in non-capital cases reaches 60, we estimate that the appropriation for the Fund will need to be at least \$9,461,299 to avoid prorating claims. Our current estimate for reimbursing all of Indiana's 92 counties, as set forth in **Column D**, is \$11,858,059.

While the Commission appreciates that these are difficult financial times for the State of Indiana, we believe that the state's adversarial criminal justice system merits the full support of the legislature. Unlike other state-funded programs, the provision of adequate counsel for the poor in criminal and juvenile cases is guaranteed as a matter of constitutional right.

For its part, rest assured that the IPDC is absolutely committed to improving indigent defense representation in our state. But we cannot do it alone. We need the help of our elected officials.

Attachments

PUBLIC DEFENSE FUND REIMBURSEMENTS FOR 2002-03 AND PROJECTIONS FOR THE FUTURE

| | Α | В | С | D |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| COUNTY | 2002-03 REQUESTED* | 2003-04 PROJECTED | 2004-05 60 COUNTIES | 2005-06 92 COUNTIES |
| ADAMS | \$39,519.19 | \$57,505 | \$57,505 | \$57,505 |
| ALLEN | | \$660,170 | \$660,170 | \$660,170 |
| BARTHOLOMEW | | | | \$108,480 |
| BENTON | \$13,120.60 | \$14,985 | \$14,985 | \$14,985 |
| BLACKFORD | \$9,609.45 | \$15,605 | \$15,605 | \$15,605 |
| BOONE | | | | \$82,820 |
| BROWN | | | | \$17,400 |
| CARROLL | \$32,390.32 | \$32,175 | \$32,175 | \$32,175 |
| CASS | | | | \$76,240 |
| CLARK | \$133,991.76 | \$144,180 | \$144,180 | \$144,180 |
| CLAY | | | | \$20,000 |
| CLINTON | | | | \$30,800 |
| CRAWFORD | | \$14,200 | \$14,200 | \$14,200 |
| DAVIESS | | | | \$70,080 |
| DEARBORN | | | | \$40,000 |
| DECATUR | \$30,793.09 | \$30,793.09 | \$30,793.09 | \$30,793.09 |
| DEKALB | | | | \$64,480 |
| DELAWARE | | | | \$176,500 |
| DUBOIS | | | | \$41,855 |
| ELKHART | | | | \$280,000 |
| FAYETTE | \$109,057.33 | \$109,057.33 | \$109,057.33 | \$109,057.33 |
| FLOYD | \$137,969.87 | \$143,490 | \$143,490 | \$143,490 |
| FOUNTAIN | \$23,110.59 | \$35,025 | \$35,025 | \$35,025 |
| FRANKLIN | | | | \$17,520 |
| FULTON | \$40,117.35 | \$40,117.35 | \$40,117.35 | \$40,117.35 |
| GIBSON | | | \$24,340 | \$24,340 |
| GRANT | | | | \$130,000 |
| GREENE | \$82,107.03 | \$82,107.03 | \$82,107.03 | \$82,107.03 |
| HAMILTON | | | | \$200,400 |
| HANCOCK | \$132,831.77 | \$132,831.77 | \$132,831.77 | \$132,831.77 |
| HARRISON | | | | \$33,560 |
| HENDRICKS | # 400,000,44 | # 400 000 44 | # 400 000 44 | \$91,625 |
| HENRY | \$123,029.11 | \$123,029.11 | \$123,029.11 | \$123,029.11 |
| HOWARD | | | \$160,860 | \$160,860 |
| HUNTINGTON | | | | \$44,320 |
| JACKSON | #24.000.40 | #40.050 | #42.050 | \$28,600 |
| JASPER | \$31,998.18 | \$43,950 | \$43,950 | \$43,950 |
| JAY | \$71,889.56 | \$71,889.56 | \$71,889.56 | \$71,889.56 |
| JEFFERSON | #00 600 70 | # 20 620 70 | \$36,000 | \$36,000 |
| JENNINGS | \$29,639.73 | \$29,639.73 | \$29,639.73 | \$29,639.73 |
| JOHNSON | ¢400 704 40 | ¢440.000 | \$160,000 \$110,280 | \$160,000 |
| KNOX | \$100,724.19 | \$119,280 | \$119,280 | \$119,280 |

| | A | В | С | D |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| COUNTY | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 |
| | REQUESTED* | PROJECTED | 60 COUNTIES | 92 COUNTIES |
| KOSCIUSKO | \$80,021.18 | \$80,021.18 | \$80,021.18 | \$80,021.18 |
| LAGRANGE | | | \$24,000 | \$24,000 |
| LAKE | \$995,190.47 | \$995,190.47 | \$995,190.47 | \$995,190.47 |
| LANGENOE | \$141,027.57 | \$144,255 | \$144,255 | \$144,255 |
| LAWRENCE | #504.004.00 | Ø504 004 00 | # 504.004.00 | \$41,600 |
| MADISON | \$564,681.26 | \$564,681.26 | \$564,681.26 | \$564,681.26 |
| MARION | \$2,237,551.16 | \$2,133,036 | \$2,133,036 | \$2,133,036 |
| MARSHALL MARTIN | \$0.00 | | ¢17.000 | \$31,300 \$17,000 |
| MIAMI | \$116,781.24 | \$118,930 | \$17,000 \$118,930 | \$17,000 \$118,930 |
| MONROE | \$264,743.60 | \$264,743.60 | \$264,743.60 | \$264,743.60 |
| MONTGOMERY | \$34,782.26 | \$61,450 | \$61,450 | \$61,450 |
| MORGAN | ψ0+,7 02.20 | ΨΟ1, 430 | \$80,120 | \$80,120 |
| NEWTON | | | \$38,000 | \$38,000 |
| NOBLE | \$97,671.90 | \$97,671.90 | \$97,671.90 | \$97,671.90 |
| OHIO | \$12,752.72 | \$15,100 | \$15,100 | \$15,100 |
| ORANGE | \$41,738.47 | \$41,738.47 | \$41,738.47 | \$41,738.47 |
| OWEN | , , | , , , , | , , | \$24,760 |
| PARKE | \$27,147.22 | \$31,480 | \$31,480 | \$31,480 |
| PERRY | \$50,205.73 | \$50,205.73 | \$50,205.73 | \$50,205.73 |
| PIKE | \$53,231.00 | \$53,231.00 | \$53,231.00 | \$53,231.00 |
| PORTER | | | | \$140,000 |
| POSEY | | | \$27,640 | \$27,640 |
| PULASKI | \$34,803.79 | \$33,300 | \$33,300 | \$33,300 |
| PUTNAM | | | | \$31,560 |
| RANDOLPH | | | | \$52,020 |
| RIPLEY | | | | \$32,680 |
| RUSH | \$31,025.20 | \$31,025.20 | \$31,025.20 | \$31,025.20 |
| ST. JOSEPH | | | \$275,200 | \$275,200 |
| SCOTT | \$45,056.73 | \$58,750 | \$58,750 | \$58,750 |
| SHELBY | \$84,929.70 | \$87,505 | \$87,505 | \$87,505 |
| SPENCER | \$18,860.00 | \$23,570 | \$23,570 | \$23,570 |
| STARKE | #74.004.50 | 070 440 | #70.440 | \$17,620 |
| STEUBEN | \$71,264.58 | \$70,110 | \$70,110 | \$70,110 |
| SULLIVAN | \$22,436.00 | \$22,436.00 | \$22,436.00 | \$22,436.00 |
| SWITZERLAND | \$23,240.64 | \$30,770 | \$30,770 | \$30,770 |
| TIPPECANOE TIPTON | | | | \$204,120 |
| UNION | \$2,589.56 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$16,000 \$6,245 |
| VANDERBURGH | \$570,772.10 | \$570,772.10 | \$570,772.10 | \$570,772.10 |
| VERMILLION | \$26,237.20 | \$30,400 | \$370,772.10 | \$370,772.10 |
| VIGO | \$339,377.22 | \$339,377.22 | \$339,377.22 | \$339,377.22 |
| WABASH | ψουσ,σττ.ΖΖ | ψ555,577.22 | ψοσο,σττ.ΖΖ | \$49,240 |
| WARREN | \$3,957.40 | \$13,055 | \$13,055 | \$13,055 |
| A A CHI ZI ZI ZI ZI | φυ,θυ1.40 | φ10,000 | ψ 10,000 | φ10,000 |

| | Α | В | С | D |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| COUNTY | 2002-03 REQUESTED* | 2003-04 PROJECTED | 2004-05 60 COUNTIES | 2005-06 92 COUNTIES |
| WARRICK | | | | \$25,780 |
| WASHINGTON | \$46,229.01 | \$46,229.01 | \$46,229.01 | \$46,229.01 |
| WAYNE | | | | \$170,400 |
| WELLS | | | \$54,440 | \$54,440 |
| WHITE | \$15,703.07 | \$22,040 | \$22,040 | \$22,040 |
| WHITLEY | \$23,803 | \$46,350 | \$46,350 | \$46,350 |
| NONCAPITAL | \$7,219,709.96 | \$7,983,699 | \$8,881,299 | \$11,273,059 |
| CAPITAL | \$413,805.05 | \$413,805.05 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 |
| EXPENSES | \$78,475 | \$78,475 | \$80,000 | \$85,000 |
| | \$7,711,990.01 | \$8,475,979 | \$9,461,299 | \$11,858,059 |